

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities:
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-23
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-23
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-07-23
Date of Last Revision: 2012-02-23

Agency: 009 - Department of Health and Human Services
Medicaid Services

Bureau: 38 - Centers for Medicare and

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: CMS Retiree Drug Subsidy

2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII): 009-000002476

Section B: Investment Detail

- Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

The Retiree Drug Subsidy (RDS) program was authorized by statute in the Medicare Modernization Act of 2003. Congress mandated that the RDS program be implemented to create a financial incentive to sponsors of retiree drug plans to continue offering high quality drug coverage to retirees. A system was implemented giving Plan Sponsors the flexibility to leverage existing business arrangements they have with consulting companies to electronically submit required data to CMS on their behalf, thus reducing the burden on the sponsor and increasing the likelihood of participation in the program. The RDS system is developed using standard system development lifecycle processes. All transactions in the RDS program is performed electronically through the use of the Internet and electronic business technology. This investment includes costs for data center hosting, security, data/database administration, software maintenance, advanced technical support, telecomm lines, and digital SSL certificates.

- How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

The Retiree Drug Subsidy Program is a paperless e-gov initiative and the Federal Health IT Policy Principle 1.1, Improve health and healthcare. If the investment is not fully funded, Plan Sponsors will be unable to apply for the program, report costs or request payments on their existing and/or future applications nor will they be able to apply for future subsidies which will ultimately end the program as there are no paper equivalents for the automated system that is currently in place. This will potentially cause up to 7M+ retirees being dropped from their employer-sponsored drug plans and requiring them to enroll in Part D plans en masse.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

Completed upgrade to Pega Workflow Manager which significantly improved operational efficiencies. Added an additional support environment which allows more quality system testing as well as mimicing problems in production in a more timely manner.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

This is a Steady State investment. There was no new functionality added to the system. However, an upgrade of the Pega Workflow Manager was performed in order to increase operational efficiency.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2004-09-09

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	0	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$48.4	\$9.2	\$9.8	\$9.2
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$0.7	\$0.8	\$0.8	\$0.8
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$49.1	\$10.0	\$10.6	\$10.0
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$49.1	\$10.0	\$10.6	\$10.0
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$0.7	\$0.8	\$0.8	\$0.8
# of FTE rep by costs:	16	5	5	5
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$0.0	\$0.6	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		0.00%	5.63%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

The Summary of Funding has been updated for 2010 and beyond in order to more accurately reflect the IT costs for the RDS program investment. The change incorporates the agreed upon methodology to only include costs for IT-related activities (e.g. system releases, security, database administration, data center hosting, advanced technical support, and telecom).

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
---------------	--------------	-----------------------	--	--	---------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	------	--------	----------------	-----------------------------

Awarded

[HHSM500200](#)
[700021I](#)

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:
 EVM is included in the contract.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
NONE					

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
NONE								

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Percentage of time that the RDS website is available to the public	percentage	Customer Results - Timeliness and Responsiveness	Over target	95.000000	95.000000		95.000000	Semi-Annual
Number of interim payments requests processed in 30 days	number	Customer Results - Timeliness and Responsiveness	Over target	95.000000	95.000000		98.000000	Monthly
Number of unmitigated high level system vulnerabilities	number	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	0.000000	0.000000		0.000000	Monthly
Number of emergency system releases needed in CY	number	Technology - Quality Assurance	Under target	0.000000	0.000000		2.000000	Semi-Annual
Number of requests for additional funds	number	Technology - Technology Costs	Over target	0.000000	0.000000		0.000000	Semi-Annual